



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,829	10/29/2001	Robert J. Cirulli	83295DMW	4382	
7	590 09/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
Thomas H. Close			LEE, Y	LEE, Y YOUNG	
Patent Legal St					
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			2613		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/045,829	CIRULLI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Y. Lee	2613				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
	• •		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	waust 2005 -					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	•					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 August 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		<del>-</del>				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
decline attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	He)						
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intendow Summer	/ (PT∩413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/045,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 5-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/14/05.

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 3. This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse on 4/14/05. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

#### **Drawings**

4. The drawings were received on 8/24/05. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,829

Art Unit: 2613

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milson et al (6,115,062) in view of Giorgianni et al (6,882,451).

Milson et al, in Figures 1 and 2, discloses the same method for calibrating a motion picture film scanner as specified in claims 1-4 of the present invention, comprising the steps of providing a calibration element composed of a multi-step neutral gray series comprised of a plurality of known density patches (e.g. Table 1) that substantially represent the full density vs. exposure range of a photographic element (e.g. Fig. 2), wherein each density patch corresponds to a prescribed aim voltage (e.g. Table 3); scanning the calibration element with the motion picture film scanner and generating a signal voltage for each density patch (e.g. Table 6); and adjusting the motion picture film scanner to bring the signal voltages toward the prescribed aim voltages to enable color correction of video images (see Abstract), and also generating one or more adjustments that compensate for errors from the prescribed aim voltages

Application/Control Number: 10/045,829

Art Unit: 2613

and thus capture substantially the full dynamic range of the motion picture film (e.g. Table 5).

With respect to claims 2-4, Milson et al also discloses each density patch represents a unique RGB density corresponding to separate prescribed aim RGB voltages for each density patch (e.g. Table 6), wherein the steps of scanning the calibration element generates a set of RGB signal voltages for each density patch and adjusting the motion picture scanner brings the RGB signal voltages toward the prescribed RGB aim voltages, thereby generating adjustments that compensate for errors from the prescribed RGB aim voltages; wherein there are a plurality of interacting adjustments (e.g. Table 5) to bring the signal voltages toward the prescribed voltages, the step of adjusting the motion picture film scanner is a process of iteratively adjusting the plurality of adjustments to bring the signal voltages toward the prescribed voltages; wherein the process of iteratively adjusting the plurality of adjustments to bring the signal voltages toward the prescribed voltages; wherein the process of iteratively adjusting the plurality of adjustments to bring the signal voltages toward the prescribed voltages; wherein the process of iteratively adjusting the plurality of adjustments to bring the signal voltages toward the prescribed voltages;

It is noted Milson et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose the exposure range is greater than 2.4. Giorgianni et al however, in Figures 2, 4, 5, and 8, illustrate the concept of such well known exposure range from 0 to 5 exposure units on a logarithmic scale.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Milson et al and Giorgianni et al before him/her, to exploit the full exposure range as taught by Giorgianni et al

Art Unit: 2613

during the calibration of motion picture film scanner of Milson et al, in order to improve the colorimetric performance of color-imaging systems in which photographic media are used.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brewer et al discloses color motion picture print film for use with digital output.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2613

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613